



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/639,677	08/13/2003	Fernando Cuervo	ALC 3414	6127
76614	7590	04/20/2009		
Kramer & Amado, P.C. 1725 Duke Street Suite 240 Alexandria, VA 22314			EXAMINER SURVILLO, OLEG	
			ART UNIT 2442	PAPER NUMBER PAPER
			MAIL DATE 04/20/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/639,677	CUERVO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	OLEG SURVILLO	2442

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Oleg Survillo, Examiner AU 2442. (3)_____.

(2) Patrick Wamsley, Reg. No.: 59,241. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant's attorney proposed claim amendments that would render claim 19 statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101, as discussed in the Advisory action mailed 03/30/09. It was agreed on that if entered, claim amendments would overcome the rejection of claim 19 under 35 U.S.C. 101.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Andrew Caldwell/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442